

Eastern Edge Project

Stakeholders Meeting

August 16, 2007 – 6pm

Springview

Members Present

Bob Warren, Kent Sherry, Leo Shanayda, Bruce Smith, Doug Smith, Herb Greer, Pat Richards, Walt Szczesny, Margaret VanGundy & Paul Wilson

Others Present

Jeff Briner, Heather Whitmore, Thea Walsh & Zachary Balassone

Minutes

- Meeting began with PAC presentation on Land Use, Economic Development, Transportation Planning, and Intrinsic Qualities

Stakeholder Comment Record

1. Why are we doing a land use plan for just the Eastern Edge Corridor? Doesn't the whole Crossroads Plan need to be updated? (Herb Greer)

PAC Comment: Crossroads does not envision the future development of this corridor. We expect to revise the section for east National Road.

2. Explain the public input process with more clarity. (Leo Shaynada)
 - When do the citizens get involved?
 - Property owners impacted by the proposed plan should be kept informed throughout the process.
 - i. A mailer updating the public was recommended. (Jeff Briner)
3. How are all of the Committee members going to be informed of the meetings, future agendas and when to bring specific stakeholders. (Walt Szczesny)

PAC Comment: The PAC will send out meeting material on the Monday before the meeting via email, regular mail, or website. Stakeholders may use the best method for them.

4. Corridor Sessions – How are the corridor sessions / sections going to be broke down? Enough time should be provided to give proper notice ahead of time to the property owners involved. There is a need to create a schedule on which area of the corridor we will be going over at each meeting. (Bob Warren)

PAC Comment: The Stakeholders will identify segments on the corridor and will identify interested parties to particulate in the discussions relevant to them.

5. Explain the outcome of this project, that it is not legislative, but rather a guideline for the institutions involved to go by. (Kent Sherry)

PAC Comment: The ideally the process will result in uniform legalization across all jurisdictions. Each jurisdiction wi ll determine how best to implement the outcome of the process.

6. As a way to collaborate and minimize the NIMBY – Not In My Back Yard reaction we need to address how we are going to build civic capacity. This process should not lead to a negative political outcome for those involved. (Herb Greer & Doug Smith)
7. Clearly and concisely define the role of stakeholders in this process. (Leo Shaynada)

PAC Comment: Provide input on plan recommendations to Steering Committee, Strive for consensus-based discussion on process agenda items, Attain information from and participation of constituent group of stakeholders and interested parties

8. Stakeholders need a hard copy land use thematic mapping of the corridor to review. (Doug Smith)

PAC Comment: This will be provided on September 10, 2007.